RICHARD P. BERG
HENRY KLEIN
MAYIS S. GALLENSON
M. JIRIS HESS
JOHN A. PALMER
ROSS A. SCHMETT
KEYIN P. STEINMANGUAHGYU TROY CAIT
"VI BAR ONLY
"MI BAR ONLY

LLESSANDRO STEINFL EUROPEAN PATENT ATTORNEY

OF COURSEL RICHARD M. JOHNSON LAWRENCE S. COHEN ROBIN OAY GLENN KAM C. LOUIE

224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVE CHICAGO, IL 80804 RICHARO J. STREIT FREDERICK W. MEYERS DERMOT J. HORGAN JOHN E. MCKIE LAW OFFICES

LADAS & PARRY

SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90036-5679

TELEPHONE: (323) 934-2300
FACSIMILE: (323) 934-0202
E-MAIL: LA_Mail @ladasparry.com
INTERNET: http://www.ladas.com

May 16, 2002

DEPELET SON TOPS TREET NEW YORK, NY 10023

TEPHEN A. GOLDSMIT:
N JAY KAUFMAN
NEDERICK REICHWALG
NSEPH M. HANDELMAN
LAN S. PILSON
THER O. GALLOWAY
OBERT ALPERT
SAN RICHARDS
MINIEL F. ZENDEL
UNNING G. BRYER

JANET I, CORO
CLIFEORÓ J. MASS
JOSEPH J. VILLAPOL
BHARATI BAKSHAMI
DENNIS S. PRAHL
DYNTHIA R. MILLER
BEORGIA N. GOUNARIS
MENTERS N.Y. BANG

52-54 HIGH HOLBORN LONDON, WCIV 5RR, ENG IAIN G. BAILLIE GRAHAN FARRINGTON U.K. Resident Partner (JERUSCH N.Y. BAR)

DACHAUERSTRASSE 37

Customer Service Department U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D. C. 20231

Re: Our Monthly Deposit Account

Gentlemen:

We enclose herewith copies of our monthly deposit account statements for the months of January, February and March, 2002 and September and October 2001.

We are absolutely appalled at the number of \$180 charges which you have made against our account, apparently without proper authority.

These \$180 charges are apparently in connection with Information Disclosure Statements. If we send you an Information Disclosure Statement where we know there is a \$180 fee due, we do not debit our deposit account, but rather we send you a check in payment of the fee due. We tend to use our deposit account merely for emergency situations; for example, if we fail to send you a check or if the check becomes lost in your offices.

We have made a spot check of the cases for which the \$180 fee has been charged, and we have not found one in which a \$180 fee was due for filing the Information Disclosure Statement belatedly. Thus, it is our initial conclusion that these charges which were made to our deposit account were made erroneously and without our authorization.

As such, you are requested to immediately advise us for each \$180 fee charged to our account, why that fee was charged and, in particular, why the USPTO believes a fee was due. If the fee was not due, we expect these fees to be credited back immediately.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office May 16, 2002 Page 2

It is to be noted that there is no fee due in connection with an IDS if the IDS is filed before the first official action issues irrespective of the filing date of the application concerned.

Please advise when we can expect to have the unauthorized charges refunded to our account. Also, please explain yourselves, since we find your actions in making unauthorized charges to our deposit account to be, in a word, disgusting.

Very truly yours,

LADAS & PARRY

Rechard Burg

Richard P. Berg

RPB:las Enclosures





kevenue Accounting and Management

Name/Number: 09845042 Total Records Found: 5
Start Date: Any Date End Date: Any Date

Accounting Date	Sequence Num.	Tran Type	Fee Code	Fee Amount Mailroom Date	Payment Method
08/24/2001	00000162	1	101	\$710.00 08/23/2001	OP
08/24/2001	00000163	1	103	\$594.00 08/23/2001	OP
08/24/2001	00000164	1	105	\$130.00 08/23/2001	OP
08/28/2001	00000056	1	581	\$40.00 08/24/2001	OP
02/11/2002	00000116	1	<u>126</u>	\$180.00 02/07/2002	DA 120415